0022-5347/03/1696-2210/0
THE JOURNAL OF UROLOGY®
Copyright © 2003 by AMERICAN UROLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

Vol. 169, 2210–2215, June 2003
Printed in U.S.A.
DOI: 10.1097/01.ju.0000067446.17576.bd

URODYNAMIC EFFECT OF ACUTE TRANSCUTANEOUS POSTERIOR TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION IN OVERACTIVE BLADDER

G. AMARENCO, S. SHEIKH ISMAEL, A. EVEN-SCHNEIDER, P. RAIBAUT, S. DEMAILLE-WLODYKA, B. PARRATTE AND J. KERDRAON

From the Department of Neurologic Rehabilitation, Urodynamic and Neurophysiology Laboratory. Hôpital Rothschild, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Of the various treatments proposed for urge incontinence, frequency and urgency electrostimulation has been widely tested. Different techniques have been used with the necessity of surgical implantation (S3 neuromodulation or sacral root stimulation) or without requiring surgery (perineal transcutaneous electrostimulation). Recently peripheral electrical stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve was proposed for irritative symptoms in first intention or for intractable incontinence. Clinical studies have demonstrated good results and urodynamic parameters were improved after chronic treatment. However, to our knowledge no data concerning acute stimulation and immediate cystometry modifications have been reported. We verified urodynamic changes during acute posterior tibial nerve stimulation.

Materials and Methods: A total of 44 consecutive patients with urge incontinence, frequency and urgency secondary to overactive bladder were studied. There were 29 women and 15 men with a mean age ±SD of 53.3 ± 18.2 years. Of the patients 37 had detrusor hyperreflexia due to multiple sclerosis (13), spinal cord injury (15) or Parkinson's disease (9), and 7 had idiopathic detrusor instability. Routine cystometry at 50 ml. per minute was done to select the patients with involuntary detrusor contractions appearing before 400 ml. maximum filling volume. Repeat cystometry was performed immediately after the first study during left posterior tibial nerve stimulation using a surface self-adhesive electrode on the ankle skin behind the internal malleolus with shocks in continuous mode at 10 Hz. frequency and 200 milliseconds wide. Volume comparison was done at the first involuntary detrusor contraction and at maximum cystometric capacity. The test was considered positive if volume at the first involuntary detrusor contraction and/or at maximum cystometric capacity increased 100 ml. or 50% during stimulation in compared with standard cystometry volumes.

Results: Mean first involuntary detrusor contraction volume on standard cystometry was 162.9 ± 96.4 ml. and it was 232.1 ± 115.3 ml. during posterior tibial nerve stimulation. Mean maximum cystometric capacity on standard cystometry was 221 ± 129.5 ml. and it was 277.4 ± 117.9 ml. during stimulation. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation was associated with significant improvement in first involuntary detrusor contraction volume ($p < 0.0001$) and significant improvement in maximum cystometric capacity ($p < 0.0001$). The test was considered positive in 22 of the 44 patients.

Conclusions: These results suggest an objective acute effect of posterior tibial nerve stimulation on urodynamic parameters. Improved bladder overactivity is an encouraging argument to propose posterior tibial nerve stimulation as a noninvasive treatment modality in clinical practice.

KEY WORDS: electrical stimulation, urodynamics, tibial nerve, urinary incontinence

For many years peripheral electrical stimulation has been widely used to treat urinary disorders.[1–4] Different stimulation modalities for various pathological conditions were tested. Chronic perineal muscles stimulation proved to be safe and effective for stress urinary incontinence.[1] Acute perineal nerve stimulation (dorsal nerve of the penis) was effective for decreasing detrusor hyperreflexia in spinal cord injury cases.[5] Chronic electrical stimulation of perineal skin/sacral dermatomes was used to manage urge incontinence and many studies have had good and consistent results.[4,6–8] Recently sacral neuromodulation with surgical implantation of an S3 stimulator was proposed to treat various urinary symptoms, especially intractable urge incontinence and urinary frequency. More recently posterior tibial nerve stimulation has been used as a chronic treatment to improve urge incontinence and frequency.[9,10] Surprisingly sparse urodynamic data on the efficacy of chronic posterior tibial nerve stimulation are available. Moreover, urodynamic parameters evaluations have only been reported before and after chronic treatment with posterior tibial nerve stimulation.[9] Indeed, to our knowledge no study has been performed to determine urodynamic effects following acute posterior tibial nerve stimulation. We studied by urodynamic investigation possible detrusor activity modifications during acute tibial nerve stimulation in a population presenting with symptoms (urge incontinence and frequency) secondary to overactive bladder.

Accepted for publication January 17, 2003.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design and subjects. The study group consisted of 44 patients with a history of voiding dysfunction. There were 29 women and 15 men with a mean age ±SD of 53.3 ± 18.2

years. All patients had irritative symptoms with urge incontinence, urgency and frequency. These patients had demonstrable overactive bladder on routine cystometry with involuntary detrusor contraction appearing before 400 ml. maximum filling volume. The etiology was multiple sclerosis 13 cases, spinal cord injury in 15, brain lesion (brain injury, stroke or Parkinson's disease) in 9 and idiopathic instability in 7 without apparent neurological disease. All patients provided a clinical history and underwent examination, urinalysis and urodynamic studies. All patients provided consent to participate in the study. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Procedure. The urodynamic methodology complied with International Continence Society recommendations. Cystometry was performed with the patient supine through a double lumen 8Fr catheter with computerized analysis of results. Cystometry was done using normal saline at 25C to 30C. The filling rate was 50 ml. per minute. The study inclusion criterion was involuntary detrusor contraction during the filling procedure before 400 ml. maximum cystometric volume. Water filling was stopped if leakage occurred or a cystometric volume of 400 ml. was achieved. Volume at the first involuntary detrusor contraction and at maximum cystometric capacity were noted.

Repeat cystometry at a 50 ml. per minute filling rate was performed immediately after posterior tibial nerve stimulation. Stimulation was done using a self-adhesive surface stimulation electrode without an implanted needle electrode. Contact electrodes were placed with electrode gel on the ankle skin with the negative electrode behind the internal malleolus and the positive electrode 10 cm. above the negative electrode. The correct position of the negative electrode was determined by visualization of rhythmic flexion of the toes secondary to plantar muscle contraction during stimulation delivered at 1 hz. The intensity level was then chosen as the intensity immediately under the threshold determining motor contraction. In addition, no pain was to be noted during the stimulation procedure, which necessarily had to be comfortable for the patient. Stimulation frequency during cystometry was then applied at 10 Hz. and a pulse width of 200 milliseconds in continuous mode. This second cystometry during posterior tibial nerve stimulation was started immediately after determining the chosen stimulation. Neurostimulation was continuous during the whole second study.

A comparison was done between volume at the first involuntary detrusor contraction and at maximum cystometric capacity for standard cystometry and for cystometry during posterior tibial nerve stimulation. If on the second cystometry during stimulation the first involuntary detrusor contraction occurred at a volume that was 100 ml. or 50% more than the first involuntary detrusor contraction volume on standard cystometry, the test was considered positive (fig. 1). Likewise the test was also considered positive if maximum cystometric capacity following posterior tibial nerve stimulation increased more than 100 ml. or more than 50% volume compared with standard cystometry. Otherwise the test was considered negative. For statistical study of different associations the Student test for patient age, and volume at the first involuntary detrusor contraction and at maximum cystometric capacity was used with significance considered at $p < 0.01$.

RESULTS

Mean first involuntary detrusor contraction on standard cystometry was 162.9 ± 96.4 ml., while it was 232.1 ± 115.3 ml. during posterior tibial nerve stimulation (table 1, fig. 1). Mean maximum cystometric capacity on standard cystometry was 221 ± 129.5 ml., while it was 277.4 ± 117.9 ml. during stimulation (table 1, fig. 2). During stimulation improvement was significant for the first involuntary detrusor contraction and for maximum cystometric capacity (each $p < 0.0001$).

Regarding the increase of more than 50% in first involuntary detrusor contraction volume during stimulation compared with standard cystometry the test was positive in 21 of

TABLE 1. *Urodynamic parameters before and during posterior tibial nerve stimulation in 44 patients with overactive bladder*

	Mean Vol. ± SD (range) (ml.)
First involuntary detrusor contraction:	
Baseline	162.9 ± 96.4 (2–375)
Posterior tibial nerve stimulation	232.1 ± 115.3 (55–400)*
Max. cystometric capacity:	
Baseline	221 ± 129.5 (14–400)
Posterior tibial nerve stimulation	277.4 ± 117.9* (70–400)

* Student test $p < 0.0001$.



FIG. 1. Improvement in bladder volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction (*1st IDC*) following surface stimulation with 10 Hz. for 200 milliseconds of posterior tibial nerve (*PTN*) at ankle during mid fill cystometry in patients with overactive bladder (Student test $p < 0.0001$).



FIG. 2. Improvement in maximum cystometric capacity (*MCC*) following surface stimulation with 10 Hz. for 200 milliseconds of posterior tibial nerve (*PTN*) at ankle during mid fill cystometry in patients with overactive bladder (Student test $p < 0.0001$).



FIG. 3. Standard cystometry in 42-year-old patient with multiple sclerosis presenting with urge incontinence, frequency and urgency shows overactive bladder with noninhibited detrusor contraction.



FIG. 4. Cystometry following surface stimulation with 10 Hz. of posterior tibial nerve at ankle demonstrates improvement in urodynamic parameters with suppression of involuntary detrusor contractions.

the 44 cases (figs. 3 and 4). Regarding the increase of more than 100 ml. in first involuntary detrusor contraction volume during stimulation, the test was positive in 13 cases. Regarding the improvement of more than 50% of maximum cystometric capacity during posterior tibial nerve stimulation, the test was positive in 15 cases. Regarding improvement of more than 100 ml. of first involuntary detrusor contraction volume during stimulation, the test was positive in 11 cases. Globally with 1 at least of the 4 previous criteria the test was considered positive in 22 cases (50%). Only 1 patient had improved maximum cystometric capacity without an increase in first involuntary detrusor contraction volume of more than 100 ml. or 50%.

DISCUSSION

Patients with irritative symptoms such as frequency, urgency and urge incontinence secondary to detrusor instability or hyperreflexia are habitually treated with anticholinergic drugs. However, in some patients anticholinergic drugs may have no efficacy for urinary symptoms immediately or after months of treatment. Moreover, these drugs may have side effects (dry mouth, constipation, blurring eyes, hesitancy or urinary retention), which can lead to the cessation of treatment despite its efficacy. Finally detrusor overactivity may persist despite treatment with a high risk of reflux or renal complications in neurologic cases. In all of these cases alternative therapeutic solutions have been proposed before bladder augmentation, such as bladder training and perineal electrical stimulation. Recently local drug treatments have been tested, including intravesical instillation of capsaicin or resiniferatoxin and and botulinum-A toxin injections into the detrusor. Meanwhile, these treatments are actually only proposed in neurological cases, especially spinal cord injury with intractable overactivity, which can lead to ureteral reflux and kidney damage. Moreover, these treatments are only available for pharmaceutical trials and need invasive protocols.

The use of electrostimulation to improve bladder storage capacity has a long history. Various types of neurostimulation have been tried for urge incontinence treatment, frequency and urgency but also for stress urinary incontinence. Sacral anterior root stimulation and S3 neuromodulation[11] have been well evaluated with real benefits of these devices for bladder overactivity control. These techniques are safe and effective but they require surgical implantation and a test period, which can limit their use. Pudendal nerve stimulation, anal or vaginal stimulation and more generally surface stimulation on the perineal area have been evaluated for various conditions, such as stress urinary incontinence and irritative symptoms. Many clinical studies have demonstrated their efficacy to decrease incontinence episodes, urinary frequency and urge sensation, and increase bladder capacity[4, 6, 7, 12–14] using quality of life instruments, and frequency and volume charts.

On the other hand, urodynamic studies of the effects of chronic electrostimulation are less frequent (table 2).[6, 8, 12–15] Various sites of stimulation have been tested (perianal skin, sphincter stimulation, dorsal penile or clitoris nerve, quadriceps and hamstring muscles) and different types of patients have been studied with idiopathic detrusor instability or detrusor hyperreflexia, especially concerning spinal cord injury. Thus, transcutaneous electrical stimulation applied to the perianal skin has been demonstrated to be efficacious for abolishing detrusor instability and increasing mean volume at first bladder sensation and mean total bladder capacity.[6, 14, 15] Meanwhile, other studies have not shown significant changes in cystometric findings after chronic electrostimulation (volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction, duration of phasic contractions or bladder capacity).[8, 12, 13] However, clinical improvements were noted in these studies, including increased functional capacity, decreased daily number of voids and improved quality of life measures.[8, 13]

The urodynamic effects of acute electrical stimulation on detrusor activity is also less reported (table 3).[5, 6, 16–20] Detrusor hyperreflexia in patients with chronic suprasacral spinal cord injury has especially been studied.[5, 16, 19, 20] Significant differences in bladder capacity were found during electrostimulation of the dorsal penile or clitoris nerve via surface electrodes[5] and during percutaneous sacral nerve neurostimulation.[19] Bladder volume at first uninhibited contraction was also increased and maximum detrusor pressure during uninhibited contractions decreased during sacral root stimulation.[19] Noninvasive third sacral nerve (S3) stimulation and its acute effect on urodynamic parameters were also tested.[16] Transcutaneous electrical stimulation was applied on the skin overlying S3 dermatomes (junction of the buttock and upper thigh) and a statistically significant increase in bladder storage capacity without a corresponding decrease in detrusor pressure was observed in the 74 neurostimulated patients.[16] Electrical current was also delivered to the suprapubic region and third sacral foramen via a transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator. A decreased mean maximum amplitude of detrusor contraction was noted.[17]

McGuire et al were the first to report the efficacy of direct electrical stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve in patients with urge incontinence.[4] More recently several studies have been done to evaluate intermittent percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation as a treatment in patients who presented with symptoms of bladder overactivity (urgency and frequency syndrome, and/or urge incontinence).[9, 10] A statistically significant decrease was observed in leakage episodes, the number of pads used, voiding frequency and nocturia, and an equal increase in the mean and smallest volumes voided. Two-thirds of the cases were classified as treatment

TABLE 2. *Literature on chronic effect of electrostimulation*

References	No. Pts.	Electrostimulation Modality	Disease (No. pts.)	% Overactivity Suppression	Increase (No. pts.)	
					Max. Capacity	First Uninhibited Contraction
Nakamura et al[6]	21	Perianal skin	Detrusor instability	62	—	—
Petersen et al[13]	13	Sphincter stimulation 12 days, 30 mins. twice daily	Detrusor hyperreflexia	0	0	0
Okada et al[15]	19	Quadriceps + hamstring muscles, 20 mins./day, 14 days	Detrusor instability (5) Detrusor hyperreflexia (14)	—	Greater than 50% (11/19)	
Previnaire et al[12]	6	Dorsal penile or clitoris nerve	Detrusor hyperreflexia	0	0	0
Klingler et al[14]	15	Stoller afferent nerve stimulator 4–15 mos.	Detrusor instability	76.9	197 to 252 Ml. (15/15)	
Soomro et al[8]	43	Perianal region	Detrusor instability	0	0	0

TABLE 3. *Literature on acute effect of electrostimulation*

References	Electrostimulation Site	No. Pts.	Disease	Main Results
Nakamura et al[7]	Perianal skin	25	Frequency, urgency or incontinence	Inhibition of detrusor instability in 4/8 pts. + increased max. cystometric capacity in 5/25
Previnaire et al[5]	Dorsal penile or clitoris nerve surface electrodes	10	Spinal cord injury	Significant increase in bladder capacity vs. baseline (318.5 vs. 155.5 ml., $p < 0.007$)
Bower et al[17]	Suprapubic region + third sacral foramina			Decreased mean max. detrusor contraction wt.
Yamanishi et al[18]	Vaginal electrode or surface electrode on dorsal part of penis	33	Detrusor overactivity	Bladder capacity at first desire to void + max. cystometric capacity increased significantly during stimulation ($p = 0.0015 + 0.0229$, respectively)
Chartier-Kastler et al[19]	Sacral neurostimulation (S3)	14	Spinal cord injury	Statistically significant differences in max. bladder capacity (206.8 ml.), bladder vol. at first uninhibited contraction (151.5 ml.) + max. detrusor pressure during uninhibited contractions (-23.4 cm. H_2O)
Walsh et al[16]	Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of third sacral nerve (skin overlying S3 dermatomes, junction of buttock and upper thigh)	74	Idiopathic instability, multiple sclerosis + spinal cord injury	Max. cystometric capacity increased significantly during stimulation ($p = 0.0002$)
Lee and Creasey[20]	Dorsal nerve of penis	1	Incomplete spinal cord injury	Suppressive effect of electric stimulation on hyperreflexic contractions

successes. In these studies stimulation was delivered using a 34 gauge stainless steel needle inserted about 3 finger breadths cephalad to the medial malleolus, between the posterior margin of the tibia and soleus muscle. We chose another technique that is less invasive using disposable, self-adhesive contact electrodes. This technique is cheap, noninvasive and free of pharmaceutical side-effects. Moreover, stimulation outside of the anogenital region has higher acceptance by patients.

In our series a significant statistical difference was noted between the 2 groups but there were many failures. Comparisons in specific groups (hyperreflexia versus instability, multiple sclerosis versus spinal cord injury, male versus female and so forth, failed to reveal significance. This finding was probably due to poor patients homogeneity, including neuropathic and nonneuropathic groups. The second reason is that many patients had severe detrusor overactivity with low detrusor capacity. This severe detrusor overactivity may be less sensitive to posterior tibial nerve stimulation. In the methodology we chose the limit of 400 ml. maximum filling to standardize the procedure and avoid difficult interpretation of bladder overactivity at high capacities. Another remark concerns the possible effect of habituation due to repeat cystometry on the recorded increase in urodynamic volume parameters. This effect is possible and other studies are necessary to determine its interference.

Posterior tibial nerve stimulation inhibits bladder activity by depolarizing somatic sacral and lumbar afferent fibers.[2] Afferent stimulation provides central inhibition of the preganglionic bladder motor neurons through a direct route in the sacral cord.[1] Meanwhile, the neuromodulation of the micturition reflex allowed by posterior tibial nerve stimulation remains unclear. Modification of perineal spasticity may be one of the different mechanisms involved in neurological cases. Indeed, the effect of afferent cutaneous electrical stimulation on the spasticity of leg muscles is well known. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation at the usual intensity only stimulates sensory fibers. This technique is widely used for pain management and many commercial devices are available. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation applied to the sural nerve may induce short-term post-stimulation inhibitory effects on abnormally enhanced stretch reflex activity in patients with spasticity of cerebral origin. Likewise, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation applied in those with spastic hemiparesis caused an immediate increase in soleus H reflex latencies that was evident for up to 60 minutes after stimulation in more than 75%. The short-term effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the H reflex and spinal spasticity was evaluated in spinal cord injured subjects. Although statistical analyses failed to reveal significant effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on H reflex amplitude, there was a significant decrease in scores for the Achilles tendon reflex and the modified Ashworth test. In spastic cases the mean peak-to-peak amplitude of H reflexes, F waves, H/M and F/M ratios were significantly decreased and the mean latencies of H reflexes and F waves were significantly increased after the application of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. Other studies have suggested an indirect action of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the central nervous system, especially a modification on the dorsal column-medial lemniscal pathway. When transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation was applied to the palm distal to the median nerve stimulation used for somatosensory evoked potentials, cortical N20/P25 amplification disappeared, evidence that transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation suppresses the central amplification phenomenon, most probably at the level of the cuneate nucleus. A modification of somatovisceral reflexes can be discussed, as previously described for gastric electrical activity modified by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and evaluated by gastric myoelectric activity measured by electrogastrography.

CONCLUSIONS

Posterior tibial nerve stimulation is a minimally invasive technique that can suppress detrusor instability or hyperreflexia. Improved bladder capacity is an encouraging finding that further supports its use as a noninvasive treatment modality in clinical practice. However, there is no argument in this study suggesting that posterior tibial nerve stimulation may predict S3 neuromodulation failure. Thus, S3 neuromodulation remains indicated in patients in whom posterior tibial nerve stimulation has failed. Furthermore, posterior tibial nerve stimulation may be proposed as a test to select candidates for definitive S3 neuromodulation, which is simpler than the several days of clinical evaluation following an S3 stimulation test. However, further studies are required to test this hypothesis.

REFERENCES

1. Fall, M. and Lindstrom, S.: Electrical stimulation. A physiologic approach to the treatment of urinary incontinence. Urol Clin North Am, **18:** 393, 1991
2. Vodusek, D. B., Light, J. K. and Libby, J. M.: Detrusor inhibition induced by stimulation of pudendal nerve afferents. Neurourol Urodyn, **5:** 381, 1986
3. Brindley, G. S.: The first 500 patients with sacral anterior root stimulator implants: general description. Paraplegia, **32:** 795, 1994
4. McGuire, E. J., Shi-Chun, Z., Horwinski, E. R. and Lytton, B.: Treatment of motor and sensory detrusor instability by electrical stimulation. J Urol, **129:** 78, 1983
5. Previnaire, J. G., Soler, J. M., Perrigot, M., Boileau, G., Delahaye, H., Schumacker, P. et al: Short-term effect of pudendal nerve electrical stimulation on detrusor hyperreflexia in spinal cord injury patients: importance of current strength. Paraplegia, **34:** 95, 1996
6. Nakamura, M., Sakurai, T., Sugao, H. and Sonoda, T.: Maximum electrical stimulation for urge incontinence. Urol Int, **42:** 285, 1987
7. Nakamura, M., Sakurai, T., Tsujimoto, Y. and Tada, Y.: Bladder inhibition by electrical stimulation of the perianal skin. Urol Int, **41:** 62, 1986
8. Soomro, N. A., Khadra, M. H., Robson, W. and Neal, D. E.: A crossover randomized trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and oxybutynin in patients with detrusor instability. J Urol, **166:** 146, 2001
9. Govier, F. E., Litwiller, S., Nitti, V., Kreder, K. J., Jr and Rosenblatt, P.: Percutaneous afferent neuromodulation for the refractory overactive bladder: results of a multicenter study. J Urol, **165:** 1193, 2001
10. van Balken, M. R., Vandoninck, V., Gisolf, K. W. H., Vergunst, H., Kiemeney, L. A. L. M., Debruyne, F. M. J. et al: Posterior tibial nerve stimulation as neuromodulative treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunction. J Urol, **166:** 914, 2001
11. Tanagho, E. A. and Schmidt, R. A.: Electrical stimulation in the clinical management of the neurogenic bladder. J Urol, **140:** 1331, 1988
12. Previnaire, J. G., Soler, J. M. and Perrigot, M.: Is there a place for pudendal nerve maximal electrical stimulation for the treatment of detrusor hyperreflexia in spinal cord injury patients? Spinal Cord, **36:** 100, 1998
13. Petersen, T., Just-Christensen, J. E., Kousgaard, P., Holmboe, B. and Klemar, B.: Anal sphincter maximum functional electrical stimulation in detrusor hyperreflexia. J Urol, **152:** 1460, 1994
14. Klingler, H. C., Pycha, A., Schmidbauer, J. and Marberger, M.: Use of peripheral neuromodulation of the S3 region for treatment of detrusor overactivity: a urodynamic-based study. Urology, **56:** 766, 2000
15. Okada, N., Igawa, Y., Ogawa, A. and Nishizawa, O.: Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of thigh muscles in the treatment of detrusor overactivity. Br J Urol, **81:** 560, 1998
16. Walsh, I. K., Thompson, T., Loughridge, W. G., Johnston, S. R., Keane, P. F. and Stone, A. R.: Non-invasive antidromic neurostimulation: a simple effective method for improving bladder

storage. Neurourol Urodyn, **20:** 73, 2001
17. Bower, W. F., Moore, K. H., Adams, R. D. and Shepherd, R.: A urodynamic study of surface neuromodulation versus sham in detrusor instability and sensory urgency. J Urol, **160:** 2133, 1998
18. Yamanishi, T., Sakakibara, R., Uchiyama, T., Suda, S., Hattori, T., Ito, H. et al: Comparative study of the effects of magnetic versus electrical stimulation on inhibition of detrusor overactivity. Urology, **56:** 777, 2000
19. Chartier-Kastler, E. J., Denys, P., Chancellor, M. B., Haertig, A., Bussel, B. and Richard, F.: Urodynamic monitoring during percutaneous sacral nerve neurostimulation in patients with neurogenic detrusor hyperreflexia. Neurourol Urodyn, **20:** 61, 2001
20. Lee, Y. H. and Creasey, G. H.: Self-controlled dorsal penile nerve stimulation to inhibit bladder hyperreflexia in incomplete spinal cord injury: a case report. Arch Phys Med Rehabil, **83:** 273, 2002